REMARKS

Applicants' attorney spoke telephonically with Examiner Russell as detailed in the Summary of the Interview. Examiner Russell indicated in his voicemail to Applicants' Attorney that several defects in the response needed to be corrected and the amendment as submitted would not be entered. Applicants just received a copy of the Examiner's Interview Summary and submit the present amendment after realizing one amendment was overlooked. The present supplemental amendment is filed in response to incorporate the changes indicated by the Examiner as needing correction for allowance.

The amendments made to the specification are repeated in this supplemental amendment as the previously presented amendments did not state the page number of the specification where the amendment in the specification occurs. Applicants do not reiterate the arguments and amendments to the drawings and claims recited in the Supplemental Amendment filed on 7/25/11 and submit this amendment only correct the defect of the omitted page reference on the Amendment to the Specification section.

Applicants request that the Examiner review this amendment alongside the paper submitted on 7/25/11 and find that the claims and amendments are allowable.

Attorney Docket No. JIB-1571

CONCLUSION

No new matter is believed to be added by the amendments to the specification.

Applicants believe these amendments place the application and claims in the form for allowance.

The PTO is also hereby authorized to charge any necessary and additional fees that may be due to Deposit Account No. 12-0690. In furtherance of prosecution, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned to discuss the application and its claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 25, 2011 BY: ____/ Michelle Chew Wong/

Michelle Chew Wong, J.D. Reg. No. 50,456 (510) 495-2456

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory One Cyclotron Road, Mail Stop 56A-120 Berkeley, California 94720 Telephone (510) 486-7896 Facsimile (510) 486-7896